

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,578	03/14/2001	Hawley Rising III	SONY-50P3814.01	5897
75	90 11/17/2003		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
Third Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 Wo North Warket Street			FAFER NOMBER	
San Jose, CA	95113		2171	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	$\Theta$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,578	RISING ET AL.	(
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communical  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	<u>August 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			s is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 March 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	plication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Beaution</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has be	en received.	·
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/809,578

Art Unit: 2171

## Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to Applicant's response filed on August 18, 2003 (paper # 4).

Declaration received on August 18, 2003 has been noted. Claims 1-35 remain for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim1-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Vaithilingam et al.</u> (hereinafter <u>Vait</u>) (U.S. Patent No. 6,411,724).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 10, 15, 19, 24, 28 and 32, <u>Vait</u> discloses a method/system of forming a semantic description for content data, comprising the steps of: (title)

Retrieving plurality of component semantic descriptions (col. 2, lines 50-64) stored remotely from the content data (col. 3, lines 28 and 29, and col. 7, lines 49-52) according to reference information associated with the content data; (fig. 1) and

Generating a semantic description using some component semantic descriptions and reference information (fig. 2, block 110).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 11, 12, 20, 21, 29 and 30, <u>Vait</u> discloses modifying and extracting one or more component semantic descriptions to generate the semantic description (col. 10, lines 33-36).

Regarding claim 4, 13, 22 and 31, <u>Vait</u> discloses combining one or more component semantic descriptions to generate the semantic description (col. 10, lines 26 and 27).

Regarding claim 5, 14 and 23, <u>Vait</u> discloses the method of claim 1 is performed in response to a request for said semantic description (col. 2, lines 39-49).

(Note: query is a request)

Regarding claims 7, 8, 16, 17, 25, 26, 33 and 34, <u>Vait</u> discloses an internet network and using URIs to each component semantic description stored on the internet to facilitate access (col. 8, lines 47-52).

Regarding claim 9, 18, 27 and 35, Vait discloses component semantic descriptions are stored in a control dictionary (col. 6, lines 58-65 and col. 7, lines 2-8).

(Note: a predefined, updateable standard notation stored in a controlled facility is a control dictionary)

(Note: URL link along with a primary key identifier is equivalent to a URI's function)

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/809,578

Art Unit: 2171

3

Applicant's arguments filed on August 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are

Page 4

not persuasive. The arguments and responses are listed below.

Applicant argues on page 16 of the 8/18/03 response that, "Vait does not describe

generating a semantic description for content data using one or more component semantic

descriptions and the reference information associated with the content data."

In response to Applicant's argument, Examiner disagrees. First, the claimed language

does not include generating and associated with the content data, but instead the claimed limit in

relation to the claim states, "generating a semantic description using one or more component

semantic descriptions and a reference information." Vait discloses the claimed feature cited in

the first office action, further, col. 10, lines 33-35, Vait restates the creation of descriptors and

new description schemes based on meta-data.

Regarding arguments on pages 16-18, Applicant does not raise any new issues over page

16 already addressed by the Examiner.

With respect to all the pending claims 1-35, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's

assertion based on the discussion cited above, as such, Examiner maintains the same rejections.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/809,578

Art Unit: 2171

date of this final action.

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MF

November 13, 2003

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100